

JUDICIAL COMPLAINT
FILED AGAINST
JUDGE LEWIS A. KAPLAN

Judicial Complaint against Judge Lewis A. Kaplan

The undersigned file this complaint¹ pursuant to the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. § 351-364 against Judge Lewis A. Kaplan of the Southern District of New York for his misconduct in the cases of *In re Chevron*, 10-mc-00002 and *Chevron v Donziger et. al.*, 11-cv-0691. Complainants allege Judge Kaplan, in his capacity as the presiding judge over these cases, has violated the Canons of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, namely Canons 2A, 3 and 3B(3).²

The Complainants allege the statements and actions of Judge Kaplan over the last ten years show him to have taken on the role of counsel for Chevron in these cases rather than that of a judge adjudicating a live controversy before him. By these actions, he has violated his duty of impartiality under the canons of judicial conduct.³ A review of the record shows that throughout this litigation, Judge Kaplan’s rulings have been in “lock step” with Chevron’s interests and requests.

Complainants are mindful that judicial complaints are not a mechanism for challenging the correctness of the merits of substantive or procedural rulings in a case. However, where a judge’s misconduct violates the Canons of the Code of Conduct, such complaints are not merits-based. In these situations there is a duty of officers of the Court, not to remain silent or to look the other way.⁴

¹ All of the specifics of this complaint are supported in the record and are set forth in the attached Appendix and supporting exhibits.

² Canon 2A of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges requires federal judges to show respect for and comply with the law, and act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary. Canon 3 requires that a judge should perform the duties of the office fairly, impartially and diligently, given that the duties of judicial office take precedence over all other activities. A judge must perform these duties with respect for others, including litigants before her or him, and cannot engage in behavior that is harassing, abusive, prejudiced, or biased. Section 3B(3) of the Code of Conduct for Judges provides that, “A judge should exercise the power of appointment fairly and only on the basis of merit, avoiding unnecessary appointments, nepotism, and favoritism.”

³ The requirement for judicial impartiality is a requirement worldwide.

⁴ Commentary to Rule 4 of the Rules for Judicial -Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings gives some examples of non-merits-based ruling. For example, an allegation that a judge conspired with a prosecutor to make a particular ruling is not merits-related, even though it “relates” to a ruling in a colloquial sense. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of conspiring with the prosecutor and goes beyond a challenge to the correctness — “the merits” — of the ruling itself. An allegation that a

This complaint has been filed by lawyers and lawyers organizations worldwide, over their increasing alarm at the punitive lengths to which Judge Kaplan has gone, beyond all bounds of reason, to destroy Steven Donziger both personally and professionally. By extension, he also appears to be blocking access to the remedy for the 30,000 indigenous clients from the Ecuadorian Amazon that Mr. Donziger has represented since 1993. Complainants are very concerned that the persecution of Mr. Donziger by Judge Kaplan and Chevron will have a chilling effect on the work of other human rights lawyers, acting as a warning of the consequences they will suffer should they try to hold major corporations accountable for their human rights violations.

JUDGE KAPLAN'S IMPROPER BIAS DURING THE DISCOVERY LITIGATION AND THE CIVIL RICO ACTION

The case—and now source of this complaint—involves Judge Kaplan's role in facilitating Chevron's efforts to block the enforcement of a judgment obtained and affirmed by three levels of courts in Ecuador, which was adjudicated on a 200,000-page record developed over years of litigation. That case, *Aguinda v ChevronTexaco*, was filed in Ecuador, where both parties agreed to jurisdiction, and where the plaintiffs sought to remedy the contamination from prolonged and pervasive oil pollution by Chevron's predecessor, Texaco, in this region.⁵

Judge Kaplan began to rule over aspects of this case in 2010 in conjunction with Chevron's use of 28 U.S.C. §1782 to hunt for evidence that it could preemptively use to try to discredit the pending Ecuadorian judgment. Chevron believed the judgment would be issued against it in light of the substantial body of evidence developed and preserved in the record before the Ecuadorian court.

Chevron's initial §1782 subpoenas were directed against independent filmmaker, Joseph Berlinger. The subpoenas sought 600 hours of outtakes of the documentary he made called "Crude" which chronicled the oil pollution in the Ecuadorian Amazon by Texaco and the attempts by the people of the region to clean up their environment in the litigation against Chevron. Chevron solicited several other courts to provide other types of evidence, (primarily from expert advisors) by enforcing subpoenas in other

judge ruled against the complainant because the complainant is a member of a particular racial or ethnic group, or because the judge dislikes the complainant personally, is also not merits-related.

⁵ In 1992, prior to the filing of the litigation in Ecuador, litigation was initiated in New York by many of the same plaintiffs. The case was known as *Aguinda et. al. v. Texaco*. *Aguinda* was dismissed after many years based on *forum non conveniens*. The plaintiffs then sought relief in their own courts with the assistance of Mr. Donziger who had been part of the case from the outset. Chevron acquired Texaco in 2001 prior to the time the Ecuadorian litigation began.

jurisdictions, but no judge other than Judge Kaplan agreed to try to undermine the judicial process in Ecuador, violating principles of comity. After granting Chevron *carte blanche* to all of the outtakes, Judge Kaplan granted Chevron access to all records in Mr. Donziger's possession in the underlying case, including many documents which should have been protected by attorney-client privilege.

Throughout the proceedings, Judge Kaplan's intense bias and personal hostility towards Mr. Donziger, and the case he and his Ecuadorian legal team brought against Chevron, has been palpable. Some of Judge Kaplan's most overt and biased statements in favor of Chevron's positions, not otherwise referenced in this complaint, include:

- Suggesting to Chevron that they could bring a RICO action against Mr. Donziger. Judge Kaplan thereby directly provided litigation strategy to a party in a controversy before him and Chevron subsequently filed a RICO action;
- Making disparaging remarks about the Ecuadorian judiciary and its professionalism and capacity to handle litigation involving a foreign corporation, thus endorsing Chevron's position, and violating comity instead of remaining impartial;
- Making statements suggesting that former Ecuadorian President Rafael Correa was a problem for Chevron as his government was not friendly to private oil interests, thus endorsing Chevron's position, and opining on direct political matters of a foreign country in violation of separation of powers;
- Granting every one of Chevron's invasive discovery requests, including depositions of family members and associates of Mr. Donziger aimed not at collecting the judgment against him, (see *infra*) but seeking information on Mr. Donziger's efforts to secure enforcement of the Ecuadorian judgment outside the United States;
- Appointing a former colleague, Max Gitter, to act as Special Master to oversee discovery, including depositions where Mr. Gitter took on the role of counsel for Chevron in interrogating Mr. Donziger, thus creating the appearance of favoritism and bias as Mr. Gitter effectively acted as counsel to Chevron;
- Requiring Mr. Donziger to pay 50% of the costs of the special masters over his and his clients' objections;
- Expressing open sympathy and preference for Chevron as a global economic actor and making disparaging remarks about Mr. Donziger and his clients;
- Ignoring evidence placed before the Court of Chevron's efforts to bribe a former judge as well as Chevron's fraudulent claim its own oil testing laboratories were independent labs.

After the trial⁶ in the RICO case Judge Kaplan issued a 500-page opinion in *Chevron v Donziger*, predictably finding against Mr. Donziger and the other defendants and holding that Mr. Donziger and his Ecuadorian co-counsel had bribed the issuing judge in Ecuador, Judge Zambrano. This purported bribe was to allow the Ecuadorian plaintiffs to “ghostwrite” the judgment favorable to them. Despite Mr. Donziger and the other Ecuadorian plaintiffs’ vehement denials of any bribe, Judge Kaplan ruled this alleged bribe rendered the judgment invalid. This finding was nearly exclusively based on the testimony of a former disgraced judge, Judge Guerra, who at trial admitted he told multiple inconsistent versions of his story to Chevron before settling on the one he told at trial (and who later admitted to lying in the RICO trial itself). Judge Kaplan never reconsidered his views once knowing his judgment was based on perjured testimony. Further Judge Kaplan never considered any of the evidence in the record in Ecuador that was built over a many years, and never considered whether the record supported the Ecuadorian judgment.⁷

After the Second Circuit predictably did not reverse the findings of fact in Judge Kaplan’s 500-page opinion as “clearly erroneous,” thus affirming the decision, Judge Kaplan imposed costs of over \$800,000 on Mr. Donziger. More than 90% of these costs were the allocated costs for the Special Masters he and his clients had objected to. Lacking the funds to pay such exorbitant and unnecessary costs, Judge Kaplan issued a default judgment against him. Following this judgment Chevron initiated post-judgment discovery against Mr. Donziger not just to find funds to pay the default judgment but on the theory that he was in civil contempt of Judge Kaplan’s RICO Injunction because he continued to help his Ecuadorian clients secure funds needed to enforce the judgment against Chevron in other countries.⁸ Although Mr. Donziger had followed what Judge Kaplan on the record allowed him to do,⁹ Judge Kaplan changed his position and suddenly denied that his ruling allowed Mr. Donziger to raise funds to enforce the judgment in other countries. This change in position set Mr. Donziger up for contempt charges.

⁶ The RICO trial became a bench, rather than a jury trial after Chevron a few weeks before the start of the trial withdrew its claim for damages, seeking only equitable relief. Judge Kaplan denied Donziger a trial by jury over his objection using Chevron’s withdrawal of a claim for damages as an excuse.

⁷ The Ecuadorian courts found the evidence supported the verdict.

⁸ By the time litigation was started in Ecuador Texaco had taken all of its assets out to Ecuador.

⁹ See 11-cv-691 Dkt. 1901 (post-injunction decision by Judge Kaplan describing how the injunction does “not prevent Donziger from being paid, just as he has been paid Nothing in the [RICO Injunction] prevents Donziger from continuing to work on the Lago Agrio case. Period.”).

JUDGE KAPLAN INITIATES CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONTEMPT CHARGES

This change in positions by Judge Kaplan resulted in the extreme, biased and draconian decision to issue first civil and then criminal contempt charges against Mr. Donziger in 2019. Specifically, Chevron sought all of Mr. Donziger's electronic devices to seek every communication he has had, regardless of the nature of the communication or whether the communications were privileged in order to find out what Mr. Donziger was doing to raise funds. Mr. Donziger has resisted this unprecedented and disproportionate discovery, including appealing Judge Kaplan's orders to this Circuit and expressing his willingness to be bound by this ruling. However, Judge Kaplan held Mr. Donziger in civil contempt imposing onerous fines. The criminal contempt case is based on the same order for his devices which is the basis of the civil contempt charges.

In light of Judge Kaplan's criminal contempt charges against Mr. Donziger, this complaint has special urgency. August 6, 2020 was the one-year mark of Mr. Donziger's house arrest. These charges are an extension of Judge Kaplan's personal vendetta against Mr. Donziger. Indeed the instant phase of the litigation is riven with more extreme bias. Despite this being a contempt case, Judge Kaplan never recused himself. He also refuses to relinquish control of the civil case. The actions in violation of the Canons of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges are as follows:

- Invoking Rule 42 under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure to appoint a private prosecutor when the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York declined Judge Kaplan's request to prosecute Donziger, and subsequent appointment of a private firm to prosecute Mr. Donziger; (see reference *supra*)
- Hand-picking a favored colleague, Judge Preska to adjudicate the criminal contempt case by-passing the random selection process in a criminal case required by the court's internal rules;
- Hand selecting prosecutors from the law firm Seward & Kissel who he knew or should have known had a conflict of interest due to their firm's representation as late as 2018 of the Chevron Corporation and because a significant portion of the firm's business comes from the oil and gas industry. He also failed to disqualify the firm when he was made aware.

Mr. Donziger has filed a writ of mandamus regarding the criminal contempt proceeding. The Complainants find the treatment of Mr. Donziger and his clients by Judge Kaplan deserves intense scrutiny. He should be sanctioned for his violations of the Judicial Canons of Conduct. This matter should be addressed by a special investigation committee and/or if the Judges of this Circuit believe their prior rulings on appeals would impact their consideration of the complaint, the Court should request the Chief Justice to transfer the complaint.

Organizational Endorsers:

1. International Association of Democratic Lawyers
2. National Lawyers Guild
3. Asociación Americana de Juristas
4. Center for Constitutional Rights
5. Confederation of Lawyers of Asia and the Pacific (COLAP)
6. African Bar Association
7. Asociación Libre de Abogadas y Abogados (Madrid)
8. Associació Catalana per a la Defensa dels Drets Humans
9. Autonomia Sur S. Coop. Andalucía, Seville
10. Boston University School of Law NLG Chapter
11. Canada-Philippines Solidarity for Human Rights (CPSHR)
12. Canadian Buddhist Civil and Human Rights Association
13. Central AZ National Lawyers Guild
14. Climate Defense Project
15. Droit Solidarité (France)
16. Environmental Justice Initiative
17. European Association of Lawyers for Democracy and World Human Rights (ELDH)
18. Four Freedoms Forum
19. Giuristi Democratici Modena
20. Indian Association of Lawyers
21. International Coalition for Human Rights in the Philippines (ICHRP-Canada)
22. Lawyers Committee for Human Rights
23. Monitoring Committee on Attacks on Lawyers, International Association of People's Lawyers
24. National Association of Democratic Lawyers of South Africa (NADEL)
25. National Conference of Black Lawyers (NCBL)
26. National Union of Peoples' Lawyers (Philippines)
27. NorCal Resist
28. Organizing Committee for Right Response
29. PARNIAE, Parlamento de las Nacionalidades indígenas de la Amazonía Ecuatoriana
30. Philippines Australia Union Link
31. Popular Resistance
32. Progressive Lawyers Association, Turkey
33. Rainforest Relief
34. Southern African Development Community Lawyers
35. Ukrainian Association of Democratic Lawyers
36. Universal Law Associates (Kathmandu, Nepal)
37. Veterans for Peace – NYC Chapter 34

Individual Endorsers:

1. Adam Broad, National Lawyers Guild Environmental Justice Committee, Buffalo Grove, USA
2. Adam Keats, Law Office of Adam Keats, PC, San Francisco, United States
3. Alan Herzfeld, Boise, USA
4. Alan Timothy Lunceford-Stevens, New York, United States
5. Alejandro Gámez Selma., Lawyer, Madrid, Spain
6. Alexander Brooks, Palo Alto, United States
7. Alice Slater, World Beyond War, New York, United States
8. Amith Gupta, New York University School of Law '17, Atlanta, GA, USA
9. Amy Harlib, New York, USA
10. Andrew M. Sherman, Attorney, Pittsburgh, USA
11. Ángeles Chinarro Pulido, Asociación Libre de Abogadas y Abogados, Madrid, Spain
12. Anne Kaufman, Attorney (retired), Boston, US
13. António Negrão, Lisbon, Portugal
14. Ashwini Sukthankar, AFL-CIO (for identification purposes only) , New York, USA
15. Audrey Bomse, National Lawyers Guild, Miami Beach, United States
16. Aurora D'Agostino, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
17. Azadeh Shahshahani, Legal & Advocacy Director, Project South; past president, National Lawyers Guild , Atlanta , USA
18. Bailey Cummings, Somerville, United States
19. Barry L. Zisser, Jacksonville, United States
20. Benjamin Weber, Vienna, Austria
21. Bethany Spielman, J.D., Chatham, United States
22. Betsy Cunningham, Baltimore, Maryland
23. Betti J Sachs, Esq, Retired attorney, NY State and Federal Courts, Brooklyn, USA
24. Professor Bill Bowring, Professor of Law, Birkbeck College, University of London; Barrister of England and wales, London, England
25. Bill Montross, National Lawyers Guild, Bethesda, MD, USA
26. Blair Sandler, J.D. UC Hastings College of the Law, San Francisco, Estados Unidos
27. Brenna L Torres, Esq, New York, United States
28. Brian O'Neil, Poughkeepsie , US
29. Bruce Ellison, Esq., Rapid City, United States
30. Bruce F. Blaisdell, Esq., Law Offices of Bruce F. Blaisdell, Esq., Boston, Massachusetts , United States
31. C. Peter Sorenson, Sorenson Law Office, Eugene , USA
32. Cameron Green, Beaverton, United States
33. Carol Sanders, retired attorney, Berkeley, USA
34. Carole Nervig, Port Townsend, USA
35. Charles Nesson, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, United States
36. Cheryl Gaster, LL.B., C. Med, Cheryl Gaster, Human Rights Chartered Mediator, Trainer, Coach, Adjudicator, Toronto, Canada
37. Chris Ford, Esq., Ford Law PLC, Attorney at law/managing member, Phoenix, U.S.A.

38. Christine M. Mrak, Retired Attorney on Inactive Status with Washington State Bar Assoc., Seattle, USA
39. Claire Gilchrist, International Association of Democratic Lawyers, Boston, USA
40. Claire Tonry, WSBA 44497, OSB 095696, Seattle, USA
41. Conor Bednarski , Individual , Ithaca, United States
42. Courtney McVean, Attorney, Louisville, Colorado, United States
43. Prof. Curtis F.J. Doebbler , Attorney; Research Professor of Law, University of Makeni (Sierra Leone); Visiting Professor of Law, Webster University (Geneva); Attorney, Refugee and Immigrant Center for Education and Legal Services (RAICES), San Antonio, United States
44. D.M. Dunkle, Orlando, United States
45. Daniel Goodwin, New York, United States
46. Daniel Mayfield , Carpenter and Mayfield , partner , San Jose, United States
47. Daniel Meyers, National Lawyers Guild – NYC, New York, United States
48. Danielle Replogle, Earthrise Law Center, Portland, United States
49. David Blanchard, Democratic Socialists of America, New Providence, NJ
50. David L. Mandel, Attorney, Sacramento, United States
51. Dayana Saint-Vil, NLG NYC, New York, United States
52. Denise Robb, Ph.D., Denise Robb, Pasadena, United States
53. Diane Paul, human rights legal worker, Seattle, United States
54. Dianne Post, Central AZ National Lawyers Guild, Facilitator, Phoenix, AZ
55. Divya Babbula, Dallas, Texas, USA
56. Douglas Sexton, Seattle, United States
57. Effiong Ntuk, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
58. Eileen Weitzman, Attorney, Brooklyn, USA
59. Ellen M. Singer, Attorney At Law, Eugene, United States
60. Emily J Yozell, Justice for Nature, Santo Domingo de Heredia, Costa Rica
61. Ms. Emily Joselson, Attorney in Vermont, Middlebury, United States
62. Ethan Chiel, CUNY School of Law, Brooklyn, United States
63. Eva Golinger, Esq., New York, United States
64. Evelyn Chorush, Houston, USA
65. Evelyn Duermayer, Representative of IADL at the UN (Vienna, Austria), International Association of Democratic Lawyers, Vienna, Austria
66. Fabio Marcelli, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
67. Fausto Gianelli, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
68. Frederic Korn, Seattle, WA, United States of America
69. G L LeBlanc, JD, Eugene, USA
70. Garrett Wright, Member, National Lawyers Guild, Portland, United States
71. Gilbert Saucedo, Attorney at Law, Los Angeles, United States
72. Gillian Talwar, NLG, Detroit, USA
73. Hajme Inoue, Yokohama Law Office, Yokohama, Japan
74. Haley Pollock, Los Angeles, USA

75. Harriet Lister, Santa Clara, USA
76. Harvey Kaplan, Brighton, United States
77. Hillary Exter, NYC, US
78. Hugh Schmidt, Tacoma, United States
79. Hüsnü Yilmaz, Lawyer, Lausanne, Switzerland
80. Huwaida Arraf, National Lawyers Guild, Macomb, MI, United States
81. Iuliia Ostrovskaia, Professional association “Lawyers for Labour Rights”, Chair Of The Board, Moscow, Russia
82. J.R. Greenwalt, Boulder, CO, USA
83. Jackelyn Mariano, Esq., National Lawyers Guild, Co-Chair - International Committee, New York, United States
84. Jan Fermon, IADL General Secretary, Brussels, Belgium
85. Jeffrey Petrucelly, National Lawyers Guild, Boston, USA, Lawyer
86. Jennifer Jones, Portland, United States of America
87. Jessica Patton, National Lawyers Guild – Boyd Law School Chapter, Las Vegas, USA
88. Joachim Rollhaeuser, European Democratic Lawyers, Athens, Greece
89. Joel Ban, Ban Law Office, PC, Salt Lake City, United States
90. Joel R Kupferman, Environmental Justice Initiative, New York, US
91. Johanna Gusman, Human Rights Lawyer, Washington, DC, United States
92. Attorney John I. Laun, Program Director, Colombia Support Network, Middleton, WI, United States of America
93. John Mage, New York, New York, United States
94. John Paul Batson, Augusta, GA
95. John Philpot, attorney, member of the Barreau du Québec, Coalition BDS Québec, attorney, ICC and the Residual Mechanism of the ICTR/ICTY, Montréal, Canada
96. John T. Mitchell, Interaction Law, Washington, DC, United States
97. Jordan S. Kushner, Civil Rights Attorney, Minneapolis, United States
98. Jose Luis Mugak, AED General Secretary, Madrif, Spain
99. Judi Brawer, attorney, Boise, United States
100. Judith Mirkinson, NLG, San Francisco, United States
101. Judson M Carusone, Eugene, United States
102. Judy Somberg, National Lawyers Guild, Task Force on the Americas, attorney, Cambridge, MA, United States
103. Julia Van Horn, Tucson, United States
104. Jun Sasamoto, Secretary General of Confederation of Lawyers of Asia and the Pacific (COLAP), Tokyo, Japan
105. Rev. Karen Harrison, Canadian Buddhist Civil and Human Rights Association, Director, Toronto, Canada
106. Kathleen Johnson, National Lawyers Guild, Birmingham, AL
107. Kathy Hessler, Lewis & Clark Law School, Portland, USA
108. Kevin Zeese, Popular Resistance, Baltimore, MD, United States
109. Kiman Lucas, Attorney in Washington and Oregon, Bainbridge Island, United States

110. Krish Govender, Lawyer, South Africa
111. Kristin Katch, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Middlebury, United States
112. Kurt Thornbladh, Thornbladh Legal Group PLLC, Dearborn, United States
113. Lauren Nakamura, Attorney, Brooklyn, USA
114. Lawrence A. Hildes, Attorney Washington and California, Member National Lawyers Guild, Bellingham, WA, U.S.
115. Lawrence Lessig, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, United States
116. Leigha Gillespie, National Lawyers Guild- law student member , Brooklyn, USA
117. Les Wallerstein, National Lawyers Guild, Lexington, MA, USA
118. Lisa Hutton, Baltimore , United States
119. Lord John Hendy QC, London, United Kingdom
120. Lorraine Leete, attorney, Mytilene, Greece
121. Lucia Tramer, Locarno, Switzerland
122. Luigi Ficarra, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
123. Luis Carlos Moro, American Association of Jurists, General Secretary, São Paulo, Brazil
124. M Johnson, Esq., Attorney, Eugene, Oregon, US
125. Mafori Moore, snellville ga, United States
126. Marc Campbell D.O. Ph.D., Psychiatry Attending, NYC, USA
127. Margaret Flowers, PopularResistance.org, Baltimore, MD, United States
128. Dr. Margaretha Wewerinke-Singh, Assistant Professor of Public International Law, Leiden University, The Hague, The Netherlands
129. Mari Inoue, Esq., New York, USA
130. Marianne Dugan, Attorney, Eugene, United States
131. Marie Toussaint, Member of the European Parliament, co-founder of Notre affaire à tous, Paris, France
132. Marjorie Cohn, National Lawyers Guild & International Association of Democratic Lawyers, San Diego, United States
133. Mark Brodin, Boston College Law School, Newton, United States
134. Mark Jacquinot, Former attorney for State of New York, Guilderland (mailing address Schenectady), United States
135. Mark Stern, National Lawyers Guild, Member International Committee, Somerville, United States
136. Martha L. Schmidt, LL.M., J.D., Bothell, WA
137. Martin Schaaf, Organizing Committee for Right Response, Organizing Secretary, Berkeley, USA
138. Matteo Bonaglia, Droit Solidarité, Lawyer, President of Droit Solidarité, Paris, France
139. Matthew Foster, New Orleans, USA
140. Max Boqwana, Lawyer, South Africa
141. Maximiliano Garcez, Lawyer, Brasília , Brasil
142. Meena Jagannath, attorney, Miami, United States
143. Melinda Drew, National Lawyers Guild - MA Chapter, Arlington, United States

144. Micah Parnell, National Lawyers Guild - Massachusetts , Boston, United States
145. Michael and Linda Gard, Amelia Island, United States
146. Michael Kaufman, Oakland, United States
147. Michael L. Quillin, Attorney, Eugene, Oregon, United States
148. Michael Ray, National Lawyers Guild, Ft. Lauderdale, USA
149. Michael V. Nixon, J.D., Portland, Oregon, USA
150. Michela Arricale, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
151. Mvuso Notyesi, President, National Association of Democratic Lawyers (NADEL), South Africa
152. Nan D. Corliss, Bloomington, United States
153. Nancy B. Alisberg, West Hartford, United States
154. Dr Natalie Jones, Research Associate, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
155. Natalie Shafer, Boston University School of Law NLG Chapter, Co-President, Boston, United States
156. Natasha Lycia Ora Bannan, Past President, National Lawyers Guild, New York, United States
157. Nathaniel Damren, Brooklyn Defender Services, Brooklyn, United States
158. Neha Dubey, attorney, Houston, United States
159. Nicola Giudice, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
160. Nicole Phillips, San Francisco, United States
161. Niki Crabtree, USA
162. Nocker Margit, Arco, Italy
163. Ms. Noreen Parks, Port Townsend, United States
164. Ollie R. Jefferson, attorney at law, Ft. Worth, United States
165. Pat Fox, PASA, Spokesperson, Melbourne, Australia
166. Patrick Henry, past president of avocats.be, Belgium
167. Peter Berkowitz, Cambridge, United States
168. Peter Craig Martin, Former litigation paralegal and legal translator, Seattle, United States
169. Peter Goselin, Attorney, Hartford, US
170. Peter Murphy, Secretary, Philippines Australia Union Link, Sydney, Australia
171. Pilar Noriega Garcia, Mexico City, México
172. Raffaele Miraglia, Giuristi Democratici, Italy
173. Ram Janak Katwal, Chairperson, Universal Law Associates, Kathmandu, Nepal
174. Richard Harvey, Amsterdam , Netherlands
175. Richard Phillips Koch, Treasurer, Bay Area Community Law Foundation, San Francisco, United States
176. Robert Atkins, Bedford, England
177. Robert Hernandez, Quincy, United States
178. Robert M. Schwartz, Retired union labor lawyer and author, Boston. Massachusetts, USA

179. Sara Kershner, National Lawyers Guild - SFBA, San Francisco, USA
180. Sarah Leah Whitson, DAWN (Democracy for the Arab World Now), Executive Director, New York, USA
181. Sarah Wunsch, Brookline, United States
182. Scott Wilson Badenoch Jr., Palo Alto, United States
183. Shannon C. Wilhite, Attorney at Law, Arcata California, USA
184. Shannon Marcoux, New York, New York, United States
185. Stanley Nyamanhindi, Executive Director, Southern African Development Community Lawyers, South Africa
186. Stefanie Grindle, Esq., Member, NLG Massachusetts, Boston, MA, USA
187. Stephanie Trager, Principal, Trager Law, Pearl River, United States
188. Stephen McDonald, Toronto, Canada
189. Steven Goldberg, retired attorney, Portland, Oregon
190. Stuart Russell , Monitoring Committee on Attacks on Lawyers, International Association of People's Lawyers, Monitoring Committee on Attacks on Lawyers, International Association of People's Lawyers (IAPL), Bordeaux, France
191. Susan J. Civic, Law Office of Susan J. Civic, Albany, NY, United States
192. Susan Scott, National Lawyers Guild International Committee, Inverness, CA, USA
193. Sylvia Lasfargeas, Paris, France
194. Talia Peleg, CUNY School of Law, New York, USA
195. Tamara Lee, New York, United States
196. Tarak Kauff, Woodstock, US
197. Than Soe, pro-democracy exile against Burmese military dictatorship in Burma/Myanmar, Toronto, Canada
198. Toby Zimbalist, Lawyer, Phoenix, USA
199. Tom Bennigson, Oakland, CA, USA
200. Trey Barnett, Law Student, Atlanta, Georgia
201. Urko Aiartza, Lawyer, Basque Country
202. Urszula Masny-Latos, National Lawyers Guild-Mass Chapter, Executive Director, Boston, U.S.A.
203. Vanessa Ramos, Asociación Americana de Juristas , Presidenta , New York, Puerto Rico
204. Zack Struver, New York, United States
205. Zeke E. Maggard, Denver, United States